

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/099,687	03/15/2002	Sebastien MacKaie	PHFR 010033	4907	
24737	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	NTELLECTUAL PROI	VO, TED T			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Diameen	1 10010	2191			
		DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/099,687	MACKAIE, SEBASTIEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ted T. Vo	2191	

	1601. VO	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Not ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal for the with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	ice of Appeal. To avoid at ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date se	et forth in the final rejection, w	hichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		IN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 C tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mai	mount of the fee. The approp bly originally set in the final O	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 mi	ust be filed within two mon	ths of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a	brief, will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (se	ee NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materi	ally reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1		O	, (DTO)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		on-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>			
non-allowable claim(s).	·	<u>-</u>	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or by vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-7</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filir d sufficient reasons why the	ig a Notice of Appeal will <u>i</u> affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allow	ance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) P	aper No(s)	
13. Other:		TED T. VO	
	_		
		EDT. VO	1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendment raises the issue of new matter and/or new invention from the originally fied Claims because the new added Claims 9-17 appear that they are not the same with the previously presented claims. The newly proposed claims 9-17 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Proposed claims 9-17 are now reciting an execution of modules that is different to the method of producing new software-base as originally presented.